1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) Inited States Attorney		
2 3	DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	DAMALI A. TAYLOR (CABN 262489) MARC PRICE WOLF (CABN 254495)		
5	Assistant United States Attorneys		
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495		
7	Telephone: (415) 436-7200 FAX: (415) 436-7234		
8	marc.wolf@usdoj.gov		
9	Attorneys for the United States of America		
10	LIMITED OTAT	EC DICTRICT COLIDT	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) CASE NO. CR 13-0794 WHA	
15	v.)) 	
16	DAVID MARTINEZ,) STIPULATION RE SENTENCING AND) JUDGMENT	
17	Defendant.))	
18))	
19)	
20	After the sentencing of defendant David Martinez on April 28, 2015, the parties and probation		
21	noticed a small error in what was said on the record with respect to the term of supervised released for		
22	one of the counts of conviction. Mr. Martinez was convicted and sentenced on Counts One (18 U.S.C.		
23	§ 1962(d) - RICO Conspiracy), Four (18 U.S.C. § 924(c) – Carrying/Using a Firearm in Furtherance of a		
24	Crime of Violence), Seven (21 U.S.C. § 846 – Conspiracy to Distribute/Possess with Intent to Distribute		
25	More than 50 Grams of Methamphetamine); and Fourteen (18 U.S.C. § 1951(a) – Robbery Affecting		
26	Interstate Commerce). At the sentencing hearing, the Court stated, and the parties and probation did not		
27	object, that Mr. Martinez should have a 5 year term of supervised release which would run concurrent		
28	on all counts. The proper disposition should be a 5 year term of supervised release for Counts One,		

STIPULATION RE SENTENCING AND JUDGMENT CR 13-0794 WHA

Four, and Seven, and a 3 year term of supervised release for count Fourteen, all to run concurrently.		
This change would have no effect on the sentence of Mr. Martinez, but it would at least correct the		
record.		
Defense counsel has also requested that the judgment include a recommendation that Mr.		
Martinez be housed locally, if possible. The government and probation have no objection to that		
recommendation.		
At the conclusion of the sentencing, the government did not orally move to dismiss the open		
charges against Mr. Martinez. The government hereby moves and the parties stipulate to dismiss Counts		
Two, Three, Eight, Nine, Ten, Eleven, and Thirteen of the Indictment against Mr. Martinez.		
The parties and probation have no objection to having these changes be reflected in the		
judgment.		
IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
DATED: April 30, 2015	Respectfully submitted,	
	MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney	
	Office States Attorney	
	MARC PRICE WOLF	
	DAMALI TAYLOR Assistant United States Attorneys	
	/s/	
	MARTÍN SABELLI Attorney for Defendant David Martinez.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
, ,	15 Phy	
	WILLIAM ALSUP United States District Judge	
	2 mile Suite District Gaage	
	This change would have no effect on the sentence record. Defense counsel has also requested that the Martinez be housed locally, if possible. The governmendation. At the conclusion of the sentencing, the gor charges against Mr. Martinez. The government he Two, Three, Eight, Nine, Ten, Eleven, and Thirtee The parties and probation have no objection judgment. IT IS SO STIPULATED. DATED: April 30, 2015	

28